

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,795	10/03/2003	Anthony Bonnet	FR-AM 1892	3900
31684	7590 06/15/2006		EXAM	INER
ARKEMA IN		ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
	PATENT DEPARTMENT - 26TH FLOOR 2000 MARKET STREET			PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	HIA, PA 19103-3222	1711		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Symmony	10/678,795	BONNET ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Olga Asinovsky	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u 	This action is non-final. Allowance except for formal matte	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ol to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apelore priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/05 & 10/3/03. 5. Patent and Tredemark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060607

Application/Control Number: 10/678,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

The cancellation claims 15 and 16 is noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 6, 7, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. Claim 6 recites the limitation "core/shell type" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 6 is depending on claim 1. There is no core/shell structure for an acrylic elastomer in claim 1. Applicants fail to provide the essential guidance that the core/shell type is.
- 3. Claim 7 claims a peelable protective layer deposited on the layer (A) side. There is no definition for a peelable protective layer. Claim 7 is indefinite.
- 4. Claim 13 recites the limitation "a layer consisting essentially of functionalized PMMA" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim 13. Claim 13 is depending on claim 1. Claim 1 has a claim language "comprising" an adhesive layer and a layer (A). Claim language "consisting essentially of functionalized PMMA" for a layer (presumably it is an adhesive layer) has no support in claim 1.
- 5. The term "Use" should be remove from claim 14, line 1. Claim 14 recites the limitation "a layer consisting essentially of functionalized PMMA and an acrylic elastomer" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim 14. Claim 14 is depending on claim 1. Claim language in claim 1 is "comprises."

Application/Control Number: 10/678,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

The limitation for a layer "consisting essentially of functionalized PMMA and an acrylic elastomer" (presumably this layer is an adhesive layer) has no support in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki et al U.S. Patent 6,479,161 in view of Kappler et al U.S. Patent 4,990,406 or Ohmori et al U.S. Patent 4,581,412.

Araki discloses a fluorine-containing adhesive comprising a fluorine-containing ethylenic polymer (A) that is prepared by copolymerizing: (a) of at least one of fluorine-containing ethylenic monomers having at least one functional group selected from the group consisting of carboxyl or a carboxyl salt group and (b) of at least one of fluorine-containing ethylenic monomers having no-functional group that is being copolymerizable with the component (a), column 4, lines 28-43. The fluorine-containing adhesive can be applied to surfaces of synthetic resin such as polyester, polyamide, acrylic polymer, column 20, lines 50-67 and column 21, lines 41. The fluorine-containing adhesive having carboxyl functional group has excellent adhesive properties to the surfaces of various materials to which adhesion has been difficult or impossible, column 4, lines 53-67. The fluorine-containing adhesive can be in either form of resin

Art Unit: 1711

and elastomer. The fluorine-containing adhesive can be blended with a synthetic rubber to make it possible to enhance mechanical properties, column 12, lines 17-23. Thus Araki is teaching that the elastomeric property of the adhesive layer can be obtained, for the present claims 4-5. The form of the adhesive can be optionally selected depending on purpose and application of adhering, and purpose and application of a laminated article, column 9, lines 19-23. An ultraviolet ray adsorbent can be added, column 12, line 17, for the present claims 4-5. The fluorine-containing adhesive having carboxyl functional group can be adhered or laminated to other organic polymer, column 12, lines 30-49 to form a laminated film of two layers or a laminated article of three layers. column 13, lines 55-65; column 14, lines 18-67; column 15, lines 1-67. The polymer substrate layer can include PVDF and vinylidene fluoride copolymers, for the present claim 1.

The difference between the present claims and Araki invention is the requirement in the present claims of a formulation of an adhesive layer comprises a functional polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) in the amount of 10 to 100 parts. The phrase "functionalized polymethyl methacrylate" is a PMMA having any functional group.

Kappler discloses a composition for lining or coating surfaces, comprising at least one fluoro terpolymer and at least one acrylic resin. The expression "acrylic resin" may include a thermoplastic acrylic resin or a thermosetting acrylic resin obtained from 60 wt% of methyl methacrylate, 18 wt% of hydroxyethyl methacrylate and 2 wt% of methacrylic acid, and 20wt% of butyl acrylate, column 2, lines 45-68 and column 3, lines Art Unit: 1711

1-15. The composition can be used for coating or lining onto various supports or substrates, column 4, lines 21-26.

Ohmori discloses a fluoro-resin coating composition. The coating composition comprises a fluorine-containing copolymer and an acrylic resin. The "acrylic resin" can be produced by copolymerization of methyl methacrylate and other comonomers such as hydroxyethyl methacrylater, glycidyl methacrylate, (meth)acrylic acid, column 4, lines 46-68. The coating composition can be applied directly to plastic materials, column 6, lines 25-31.

Kappler and Ohmori are teaching that fluoropolymer alone has poor adhesion to thermoplastic substrates. The blend of fluoropolymer with acrylic polymer having acid group exhibits good compatibility and good adhesion to a substrate polymer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the fluorine-containing adhesive composition in Araki invention by employing a functionalized acrylic resin as disclosed by Kappler or Ohmori invention for the purpose to improve mechanical properties of the fluorine-containing adhesive for producing a multi-layer coextrusion molding in Araki invention, because Araki is teaching that a carboxyl functional group is a benefit to give excellent adhesive force directly to surfaces of various materials, column 4, lines 55-61.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,795 Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References have been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

いい June 07, 2006

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700